

## 2019 JCE Provisional Results Report

## PART I ADMINISTRATION REPORT

### 1.0 Introduction

The Junior Certificate Examination (JCE) is a standards-based assessment intended to provide information on the achievement of candidates after three years of junior secondary education, the results of which are used mainly for selection into senior secondary education and technical education as well as the world of work. The assessment of the 2019 cohort was carried out through eleven (11) multiple-choice components, twenty-seven (27) open-ended components, three (3) practical examinations and eight (8) coursework assessment components on seventeen (17) syllabuses. The 2019 cohort comprised of candidates from public schools, private schools and individuals who registered with BEC.

The administration report is intended to provide a background to the environment under which the 2019 examinations were conducted. Generally the administration went well although there were a few incidents that were recorded.

### 2.0 Administration of the 2019 Junior Certificate Examination

### 2.1 Registration

Registration was conducted through the online facility. All centres registered online.

## Registration of Centres

In this cycle 245 Centres registered candidates compared to 244 in 2018. Out of the 244,208 were government school centres while 37 were private centres.

## Registration of Candidates

Table 1 below shows the number of candidates who sat the examination from 2017 to 2019. The candidature at both government and private centres remained more or less the same compared to 2018.

Table 1: Candidature for the years 2017 to 2019

|  | Government centre <br> candidates | Private centre <br> candidates | Total number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 38608 | 2440 | 41048 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 38409 | 2654 | 41063 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 39110 | 2184 | 41348 |
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### 2.2 Conduct of the examination

To ensure that examinations are conducted in accordance to set standards, Chief Invigilators from all Centres were trained and provided with guidelines. The office of Compliance \& Quality Assurance was involved in checking compliance to examination regulations by the centres. Overall, the centres complied with the laid down guidelines even though there were a few reports of maladministration and malpractice as shown in the next section of this report.

### 2.3 Maladministration and Malpractice cases

## Maladministration cases

Two cases of maladministration were reported at JCE compared to four (4) in 2018 as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Incidents recorded at JCE
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|c|l|l|}\hline \text { Incident type } & \begin{array}{l}\text { No. of } \\
\text { centres }\end{array} & \text { BEC Immediate Response } & \text { Action taken } \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Torn question paper } \\
\text { packet. }\end{array} & 1 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Two officers were sent to } \\
\text { the Centre to verify and } \\
\text { secure the torn question } \\
\text { paper packet. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { It was established that the hole } \\
\text { could not lead to leakage. The } \\
\text { Centre was given a fresh } \\
\text { consignment of the affected } \\
\text { component to minimise } \\
\text { exposure. }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { A candidate was denied } \\
\text { access into the } \\
\text { examination room } \\
\text { because of their haircut } \\
\text { which was deemed } \\
\text { inappropriate as per the } \\
\text { school policy. The } \\
\text { candidate was given the } \\
\text { paper to write on 07 } \\
\text { November 2019, two } \\
\text { days after the scheduled } \\
\text { date of the exam. }\end{array} & 1 & \begin{array}{l}\text { A team of investigators } \\
\text { were sent to the centre } \\
\text { and produced a report } \\
\text { with recommendations. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { The findings indicate that the } \\
\text { School Management was at fault. } \\
\text { Recommendation was that the } \\
\text { candidate should be afforded } \\
\text { special consideration as their }\end{array}
$$ <br>

script could not be accepted.\end{array}\right\}\)| A cautionary letter was written to |
| :--- |
| the centre. |

## Malpractice cases

A total of three (3) malpractice cases involving coursework components was recorded in this cycle; one (1) involves Agriculture $16 / 3$, one (1) Commerce \& Office Procedures (25/3) and one (1) Commerce \& Accounting (26/3). This is noted as an improvement from 2018 where there were five (5) such cases. All the cases have been investigated and concluded with appropriate action being taken.
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### 2.4 Coursework moderation and marking

## Coursework moderation

The number of moderators at this level was sufficient for all moderated components. There were no major incidents recorded in 2019 except;

- Moderators requested clarity on tax issues which was provided.
- Moderation for Business Subjects, Physical Education and Design \& Technology were extended by three to five days. The source of the challenges has been identified and will be resolved during the 2020 examination cycle.

All centres submitted projects on time and there were no delays in capturing of marks. Furthermore, no cases of re-marking were recorded except those of malpractice which are outlined above in section 2.3.

## Marking

Examiners: A total of 2007 examiners were engaged to mark the JCE written papers in 2019 compared to 2134 in 2018. Most components managed to record the required number of examiners except for five (5) which had serious shortages of up to $46 \%$. The syllabuses involved were Moral Education Paper 2, Setswana Paper 2 and 3, English Paper 2 and 3. Out of the five (5), Setswana Paper 2 and Setswana Paper 3 completed marking two (2) days later than the stipulated date while the other three (3) completed on time.

Marking fees: JCE Examiners seemed to have embraced the composite fee implemented in 2017 as most concerns were centred on the BURS and tax deducted from their earnings. The issue was addressed and marking progressed well.

### 2.5 Access arrangements and special consideration

## Applications for Access arrangements

A total of 1251 applications were received in 2019 compared to 1006 in 2018 as shown in Table 6. Out of the 1251 applications, 1070 ( $82.4 \%$ ) candidates provided supporting evidence and 181 (17.6\%) applications did not. There was a slight decrease in provision of supporting evidence when compared to 2018, where $82.9 \%$ provided the evidence required. The $17.6 \%$ that were not able to provide the necessary documents were not approved.
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Table 3: Status of Provision of Supporting Evidence

| Status | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| Provided | 381 | 401 | 834 | 1070 |
| Outstanding | 83 | 167 | 172 | 181 |
| Total | 464 | 568 | 1006 | 1251 |

All candidates who applied for access arrangements at this level were deemed to be eligible and their applications were approved. For those who had not provided the evidence, the approval was subject to their submission.

## Applications by Special Needs Type and Access Arrangement Type

The applications received this year were more of the same special needs type as those received in previous years as shown in the Table 4 below. But there was an increase noted in the number of those who did not indicate the special needs type.

Table 4: Special Needs Types

| Special Needs Type | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| Learning Difficulty | 325 | 458 | 862 | 1015 |
| Low Vision | 43 | 51 | 31 | 70 |
| Profound Loss of Vision (Blind) | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| Visual Impairment (Colour paper) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Hearing Impairment (Deaf) | 25 | 23 | 25 | 31 |
| Hard of hearing | 12 | 6 | 9 | 9 |
| Physical Disability | 7 | 5 | 11 | 18 |
| Medical Condition | 20 | 5 | 26 | 32 |
| Multiple Disabilities | 7 | 17 | 26 | 34 |
| Special Needs Type Not Specified | 23 | 0 | 13 | 37 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 1}$ |
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Table 5 shows that types of access arrangements with a notable decline in 2019 were for Modified Papers, Exemption from Setswana and Exemption from English Listening Comprehension for Hard of Hearing and Deaf Candidates. The greatest increase was noted for those that requested for extratime, reader and oral response/scribe/writer.

Table 5: Access arrangements Types

| Access arrangements | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| Modified Papers(LD \& Extra-time) | 254 | 326 | 160 | 595 | 442 |
| Modified Papers (Hearing Impairment) | 16 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 14 |
| Extra-time | 28 | 38 | 207 | 515 | 805 |
| Enlarged Print | 28 | 26 | 4 | 28 | 52 |
| Reader | 53 | 214 | 208 | 502 | 547 |
| Scribe/Oral Response | 60 | 150 | 163 | 400 | 455 |
| Alternative to Practical (Agriculture) | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Braille | 14 | 13 | 14 | 5 | 11 |
| Assistive Technology Devices | 17 | - | 14 | 0 | 2 |
| Rest Breaks | 8 | 32 | 36 | 25 | 74 |
| Exemptions from Setswana | 17 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 14 |
| Preferential Sitting | 22 | 13 | 8 | 9 | 3 |
| Coloured Paper | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Exemption from English Listening <br> Comprehension for Hard of Hearing <br> and Deaf Candidates | - | 26 | 23 | 27 | 14 |
| Incomplete Coursework for Physical <br> Education Candidates | - | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Practical Assistant | - | 18 | 14 | 3 | 43 |
| Access Arrangements Not Specified | 11 | 35 | 0 | 3 | 41 |
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## Applications for Special Consideration

Twenty-nine (29) candidates from twenty-five (25) centres applied for special consideration in 2019. Out of these, twenty-six (26) candidates provided supporting evidence while three (3) candidates did not. The centres have been contacted to provide the required documents.

The number of applications decreased from one hundred and twenty (120) in 2018 to twenty-nine (29) in 2019. The decrease was due to the fact that in 2018 there was a centre that did not give candidates the Art paper on the scheduled date and applied for special consideration for the candidates.

Applications for those who provided supporting evidence were approved by the Board Examinations Committee and should be effected immediately. Those who have not provided the evidence will be given up to the last day of the results' enquiries period.

Table 6: Applications per Special Consideration Type

| Special <br> Consideration Type | Number of Candidates <br> With Supporting <br> Evidence | Number of Candidates <br> Without <br> Evidence | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| III health | 20 | 3 | 23 |
| Bereavement | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Other | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 26 | 3 | 29 |
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## PART II: TECHNICAL REPORT

### 1.0 Introduction

The JCE is graded on a scale of $A$ to $E$ at subject level and Merit to $E$ at overall qualification. Grade E represents fulfilment of minimum requirements for the award. Candidates failing to meet minimum requirements are unclassified and assigned letter $U$ whether at subject of qualification level.

Out of a total of seventeen syllabuses available, candidates are expected to take a minimum of 9 syllabuses as per the curriculum requirements. All the 17 syllabuses are examined through 49 components comprising of Multiple Choice papers, Open-ended papers, practical examinations and coursework components. However, candidates are graded using a total of 7 syllabuses selected according to the specified criteria.

### 1.1 Outcomes of 2019

### 1.2 Performance at Syllabus Level

The proportion of candidates satisfying minimum requirements for the award of a syllabus grade this year remains at the same level in all the syllabuses as that of last year except for Religious Education and Mathematics where performance has improved and declined respectively. Though performance in most syllabuses remain the same, the percentage of candidates who fail to meet the minimum requirements for a grade remains worrisome. For instance, it is only in four syllabuses that is Setswana, Agriculture, Home Economics and Art where the proportion of candidates who did not satisfy the requirements for the award of a grade constitute $10 \%$ or less. Otherwise, in the rest of the syllabuses more than $10 \%$ candidates fail to meet the minimum requirements. The syllabus that presented the highest proportion of candidates failing to meet the minimum requirements is French where $32 \%$ of candidates are not awarded and therefore fall under the unclassified category, denoted by letter U .
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### 1.3 Quantitative description

Table 7 presents cumulative percentage of candidates at each grade across syllabuses for 2018 and 2019.

Table 7: Cumulative Percentages at Grade for 2018 and 2019 with Differences

| Subject | Cum. \% at grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | A | B | C | D | E | Proportion of candidates assigned letter U |
| Setswana | 2018 | 0.13 | 2.75 | 20.05 | 69.28 | 90.44 | 9.56 |
|  | 2019 | 0.22 | 4.57 | 22.90 | 67.48 | 90.31 | 9.69 |
|  | Diff. | 0.09 | 1.82 | 2.85 | -1.80 | -0.13 |  |
| English | 2018 | 1.04 | 10.96 | 31.14 | 57.99 | 78.08 | 21.9 |
|  | 2019 | 1.23 | 12.24 | 33.83 | 60.71 | 78.30 | 21.7 |
|  | Diff. | 0.19 | 1.28 | 2.69 | 2.72 | 0.22 |  |
| Mathematics | 2018 | 5.12 | 13.98 | 30.09 | 54.33 | 82.44 | 17.56 |
|  | 2019 | 5.15 | 13.17 | 26.92 | 48.61 | 78.91 | 21.09 |
|  | Diff. | 0.03 | -0.81 | -3.17 | -5.72 | -3.53 |  |
| Science | 2018 | 3.08 | 9.16 | 18.97 | 47.15 | 82.71 | 17.29 |
|  | 2019 | 2.07 | 7.40 | 17.18 | 46.50 | 83.04 | 16.96 |
|  | Diff. | -1.01 | -1.76 | -1.79 | -0.65 | 0.33 |  |
| Social Studies | 2018 | 0.71 | 8.88 | 31.89 | 60.63 | 84.53 | 15.47 |
|  | 2019 | 0.49 | 9.27 | 32.40 | 61.52 | 84.73 | 15.27 |
|  | Diff. | -0.22 | 0.39 | 0.51 | 0.89 | 0.20 |  |
| Agriculture | 2018 | 1.73 | 16.02 | 44.53 | 81.81 | 99.23 | 0.77 |
|  | 2019 | 1.59 | 18.77 | 48.64 | 83.25 | 97.94 | 2.06 |
|  | Diff. | -0.14 | 2.75 | 4.11 | 1.44 | -1.29 |  |
| Design and Technology | 2018 | 1.13 | 6.46 | 16.86 | 53.16 | 82.93 | 17.07 |
|  | 2019 | 1.30 | 6.71 | 16.08 | 52.34 | 82.27 | 17.73 |
|  | Diff. | 0.17 | 0.25 | -0.78 | -0.82 | -0.66 |  |
| Home Economics | 2018 | 0.13 | 5.28 | 27.39 | 69.77 | 92.86 | 7.14 |
|  | 2019 | 0.09 | 4.20 | 29.24 | 72.17 | 92.17 | 7.83 |
|  | Diff. | -0.04 | -1.08 | 1.85 | 2.40 | -0.69 |  |
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| Commerce and Office Procedures | 2018 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 5.93 | 38.87 | 77.34 | 22.66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 0.06 | 2.00 | 9.76 | 40.66 | 76.66 | 23.34 |
|  | Diff. | 0.05 | 1.70 | 3.83 | 1.79 | -0.68 |  |
| Commerce and Accounting | 2018 | 0.25 | 4.44 | 20.29 | 44.74 | 75.89 | 24.11 |
|  | 2019 | 0.22 | 6.41 | 21.53 | 44.88 | 75.85 | 24.15 |
|  | Diff. | -0.03 | 1.97 | 1.24 | 0.14 | -0.04 |  |
| Religious Education | 2018 | 3.30 | 17.21 | 36.17 | 64.75 | 84.27 | 15.73 |
|  | 2019 | 3.59 | 17.25 | 36.84 | 65.90 | 86.62 | 13.38 |
|  | Diff. | 0.29 | 0.04 | 0.67 | 1.15 | 2.35 |  |
| Art | 2018 | 4.15 | 26.46 | 60.25 | 91.62 | 99.53 | 0.47 |
|  | 2019 | 2.49 | 25.07 | 64.20 | 93.08 | 99.58 | 0.42 |
|  | Diff. | -1.66 | -1.39 | 3.95 | 1.46 | 0.05 |  |
| Moral Education | 2018 | 3.64 | 17.90 | 40.07 | 61.37 | 78.30 | 21.7 |
|  | 2019 | 3.52 | 16.85 | 38.11 | 60.77 | 77.10 | 22.9 |
|  | Diff. | -0.12 | -1.05 | -1.96 | -0.60 | -1.20 |  |
| French | 2018 | 3.09 | 7.89 | 16.29 | 38.13 | 68.56 | 31.44 |
|  | 2019 | 3.10 | 8.38 | 17.41 | 36.21 | 67.22 | 3782. |
|  | Diff. | 0.01 | 0.49 | 1.12 | -1.92 | -1.34 |  |
| Music | 2018 | 0.60 | 7.38 | 24.4 | 64.63 | 88.16 | 11.84 |
|  | 2019 | 1.04 | 8.87 | 29.90 | 68.46 | 88.74 | 11.26 |
|  | Diff. | 0.44 | 1.49 | 5.50 | 3.83 | 0.58 |  |
| Physical Education | 2018 | 1.57 | 7.77 | 19.68 | 52.94 | 85.03 | 14.97 |
|  | 2019 | 2.79 | 9.88 | 22.90 | 53.51 | 83.64 | 16.36 |
|  | Diff. | 1.22 | 2.11 | 3.22 | 0.57 | -1.39 |  |

## Increase Decline

This year, performance at syllabus level for credit grades presents a slightly different picture from that of 2018. This year, 7 syllabuses that is, Setswana, English, Agriculture, Commerce and Office Procedures, Art, Music and Physical Education recorded significant improvement in performance at credit grades as against only four syllabuses in 2018.

For the remaining eight syllabuses, the proportion of candidates obtaining credit grade remains more or less the same as that of last year.
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In all the syllabuses except Agriculture and Art, the proportion of candidates who obtained a credit grade is from as low as $9 \%$ for some syllabuses.

### 1.4 Qualitative description

This Section presents the quality of work of the candidates, showing strengths and weaknesses observed.

Agriculture: Candidates demonstrated an improved knowledge and understanding of facts and concepts in Agriculture though they are still challenged when expected to handle information, apply and solve problems.

Art: Candidates demonstrated an improved knowledge and understanding of Art concepts and good interpretation and creative skills.

English: Candidates demonstrated improvement in listening and summary skills and they showed a fair level of creativity in their writing.

Setswana: Candidates continue to improve in articulating and discussing aspects of literature and also showed improvement in interpretation of literary aspects. Candidates still need to improve in using appropriate and effective vocabulary and grammatical structures and forms.

Commerce and Office Procedure: Candidates demonstrated improved knowledge and understanding with regards to business operations and environment. However, candidates are still challenged when it comes to business production processes and managing business information.

Music: Candidates showed noticeable improvement in exhibition of performance dynamics and display of practical skills. They improved in the use of music vocabulary and terminology. Most Centre's had their candidates submitting all pieces of work this year.

Physical Education: Candidates continue to improve in the skills and technique of performance. They demonstrated improved planning, decision making and performance in the chosen practical activities. However, there is need to improve in inter-relating planning, performance and evaluation whilst undertaking activities.

Mathematics: Candidates continued to demonstrate good knowledge and understanding of mathematical concepts but were challenged when it comes to problem solving. Most of the time candidates had difficulties in recognizing and/ or applying appropriate mathematical procedures for a given situation.

It is worth noting that even in syllabuses where candidates have shown improvement, they still lack application skills.
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### 2.0 Performance at Qualification Level

Overall performance of the 2019 cohort has remained at the same level as that of the 2018 cohort even though performance at syllabus level was slightly different.

Table 8 shows the number of candidates at each qualification grade, percentage at grade, cumulative percentage at grade and the difference in cumulative percentages at grade between 2018 and 2019.

Table 8: Performance at Qualification Level: Government Centres

| Year <br> Frequency |  | A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$\% at <br> grade | 1.30 | 10.90 | 25.80 | 34.00 | 13.80 | 14.20 |
|  | Cum. <br> $\%$ | 1.30 | 12.20 | 38.00 | 72.00 | 85.80 |
|  | \% at <br> grade | 1.40 | 10.90 | 25.40 | 33.90 | 14.10 |
| Cum. <br> $\%$ | 1.30 | 12.10 | 37.50 | 71.50 | 85.50 | 100.00 |
| Diff. in cum. <br> $\%$ | 0.00 | -0.10 | -0.50 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |

This year, $85.50 \%$ of candidates compared to $85.80 \%$ in 2018, satisfied the minimum requirement for the award of the JCE qualification that is, Grade E or better. This indicates that, $14.50 \%$ of candidates compared to $14.20 \%$ of last year, did not satisfy the minimum requirements for the award of the JCE qualification. From the candidates to be awarded JCE qualification, $37.50 \%$ this year compared to $38.00 \%$ in 2018, met the minimum requirement for the award of a credit pass overall, that is Grade C or better. Within the credit bracket, only 3 candidates compared to 6 in 2018 are awarded a Merit.

In conclusion, overall performance this year is at the same level as in 2018 but it ought to be noted that for both years, quite a large number of candidates, close to $15 \%$ which translates to about 6000 candidates, are unclassified whilst a large proportion of the successful ones fall into grade D.

## PART III: RESULTS ANALYSIS

The 2019 JCE Summary Results Report is structured as follows: -

- Candidature
- Overall Performance
- Performance by Subject
- Performance by Gender
- Performance by Education Region
- Centres obtaining at least $50 \%$ pass for grades A-C
- Report Summary
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### 1.0 Candidature

The general picture shown in Table 9 is that female candidates outnumber their male counterparts in all subjects across the years except for Design and Technology, Art and Physical Education.

Table 9: Candidature by Subjects, Gender and Year of Examination (2017-2019)

| SUBJECTS | 2017 |  |  | 2018 |  |  | 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Male | Female | All | Male | Female | All | Male | Female |
| Setswana | 40690 | 20297 | 20393 | 40773 | 20302 | 20471 | 40831 | 20118 | 20713 |
| English | 40882 | 20401 | 20481 | 41016 | 20404 | 20612 | 41031 | 20212 | 20819 |
| Mathematics | 40886 | 20401 | 20485 | 41018 | 20404 | 20614 | 41025 | 20207 | 20818 |
| General Science | 2189 | 955 | 1234 | 2591 | 1236 | 1355 | 2403 | 1039 | 1364 |
| Integrated Science | 38668 | 19433 | 19235 | 38409 | 19167 | 19242 | 38608 | 19161 | 19447 |
| Social Studies | 40855 | 20386 | 20469 | 40942 | 20377 | 20565 | 41007 | 20199 | 20808 |
| Design \& Technology | 10952 | 9130 | 1822 | 10898 | 9058 | 1840 | 11092 | 9267 | 1825 |
| Agriculture | 40843 | 20385 | 20458 | 40928 | 20374 | 20554 | 40983 | 20197 | 20786 |
| Home Economics | 11034 | 2756 | 8278 | 11057 | 2704 | 8353 | 11261 | 2757 | 8504 |
| Commerce and Office Procedure | 9705 | 4292 | 5413 | 9900 | 4415 | 5485 | 9747 | 4187 | 5560 |
| Commerce and Accounting | 7520 | 3221 | 4299 | 7712 | 3342 | 4370 | 7947 | 3411 | 4536 |
| Religious Education | 16692 | 5904 | 10788 | 16800 | 5953 | 10847 | 16543 | 5457 | 11086 |
| Art | 10653 | 7568 | 3085 | 10620 | 7594 | 3026 | 10827 | 7747 | 3080 |
| Moral Education | 40842 | 20381 | 20461 | 40923 | 20373 | 20550 | 40991 | 20192 | 20799 |
| French | 1734 | 707 | 1027 | 1596 | 631 | 965 | 1528 | 595 | 933 |
| Music | 1516 | 655 | 861 | 1504 | 642 | 862 | 1341 | 596 | 745 |
| Physical Education | 11991 | 6599 | 5392 | 11886 | 6477 | 5409 | 11812 | 6435 | 5377 |
| TOTAL CANDIDATURE | 40896 | 20404 | 20492 | 41062 | 20420 | 20642 | 41048 | 20219 | 20829 |
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### 2.0 Overall Performance

Table 10: National summary of overall grades from 2017 to 2019

|  | 2017 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  | 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Grade | Count | \% | Cum \% | Count | \% | Cum | Count | \% | Cum |  |
| Merit | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 3 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  |
| A | 376 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 483 | 1.18 | 1.19 | 484 | 1.18 | 1.19 |  |
| B | 3875 | 9.48 | 10.40 | 4104 | 9.99 | 11.18 | 4205 | 10.24 | 11.43 |  |
| C | 9568 | 23.40 | 33.80 | 9944 | 24.22 | 35.40 | 9833 | 23.95 | 35.38 |  |
| D | 13582 | 33.21 | 67.01 | 14234 | 34.66 | 70.06 | 14181 | 34.55 | 69.93 |  |
| E | 5825 | 14.24 | 81.25 | 5863 | 14.28 | 84.34 | 6088 | 14.83 | 84.76 |  |
| U | 6851 | 16.75 | 98.00 | 5719 | 13.93 | 98.27 | 5920 | 14.42 | 99.18 |  |
| X | 817 | 2.00 | 100.0 | 709 | 1.73 | 100.0 | 334 | 0.81 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 4089 |  |  | 41062 |  |  | 41048 |  |  |  |

Note: X denotes failure to meet grading requirements for the award of a qualification $U$ denotes failure to meet minimum requirements at grade E

The overall pass rate at grade E or better stood at $84.76 \%$ in 2019 compared to $84.34 \%$ in 2018 which is a slight improvement of $\mathbf{0 . 4 2 \%}$. Credit grades at C or better have been fluctuating between over a three year period of 2017, 2018 and 2019. There were however slight improvements at Grades A and B between 2017 and 2019. In 2019 Grades C and D slightly decreased while Grades E and U increased. Generally the 2018 and 2019 cohorts are performing at the same level at each grade. Performance remained the same at grades C or better across the 2 years. Three (3) candidates have satisfied the award of a Merit in 2019 compared to six (6) in 2018. The overall performance is graphically presented in Table 10.

Candidates are awarded $X$ due to incomplete information required for Grading. The number of candidates who were assigned X were as follows: 2017 (817), 2018 (709) and 2019 (334).

## 2019 JCE Provisional Results Report

### 2.1 Comparison of overall grades by type of centre



Figure 1 presents overall performance of candidates by type of entry at each grade. Candidates enter or register for an examination series either as private candidates, candidates from government centres or candidates from private centres. Private Candidates are those registered directly with BEC and through Botswana Open University (BOU).

The results indicate that candidates from government centres recorded the highest proportion in the grades C or better. Private Centre candidates recorded the highest proportion at grade D, whereas private candidates have the highest proportion of grade U .
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### 3.0 Performance by Subject

Table 11 shows performance at subject level. The following subjects have the proportion of candidates reaching more than $90 \%$ cumulatively at grade $E$ over the two years; Setswana, Agriculture, Home Economics and Art. Seven (7) subjects showed an increase in cumulative percentage of over $2 \%$ for candidates obtaining grade C or better, they are Setswana, English, Agriculture, Commerce and Office Procedures, Music, Art and Physical Education. Music recorded the highest increase of $5.5 \%$, while Mathematics and Moral Education significantly declined by $3.03 \%$ and $2.05 \%$ respectively. Art continues to be consistently the only subject with more than $50 \%$ of the candidates obtaining grade $C$ or better across all the three (3) years.

Table 11: Percentages of candidates awarded Grade E or better and C or better by subject in 2017, 2018 and 2019

|  | Grade C or better |  |  |  | Grade E or better |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 <br> $(\%)$ | 2018 <br> $(\%)$ | $2019(\%)$ | $\%$ <br> (ifference <br> $(2018 / 2019)$ | 2018 <br> $(\%)$ | 2019 <br> $(\%)$ | (\%ifference <br> $(2018 / 2019)$ |
| SETSWANA | 15.90 | 19.04 | 21.75 | 2.71 | 90.30 | 90.22 | -0.08 |
| ENGLISH | 29.29 | 29.58 | 32.22 | 2.64 | 80.75 | 78.52 | $-\mathbf{- 2 . 2 3}$ |
| MATHEMATICS | 26.20 | 28.60 | 25.57 | -3.03 | 82.01 | 78.27 | -3.74 |
| INTEGRATED SCIENCE | 16.80 | 18.97 | 17.17 | -1.8 | 82.72 | 83.02 | 0.30 |
| SOCIAL STUDIES | 29.16 | 30.17 | 30.74 | 0.57 | 84.61 | 84.74 | 0.13 |
| AGRICULTURE | 40.57 | 42.10 | 46.05 | 3.95 | 98.46 | 97.25 | -1.21 |
| DESIGN \& TECHNOLOGY | 16.56 | 16.86 | 16.07 | -0.79 | 82.89 | 82.24 | -0.65 |
| HOME ECONOMICS | 28.49 | 27.48 | 29.24 | 1.76 | 92.91 | 92.17 | -0.74 |
| COMMERCE \& OFFICE | 5.23 | 4.79 | 7.92 | 3.13 | 68.87 | 66.36 | -2.51 |
| PROCEDURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMERCE \& | 17.59 | 18.79 | 20.02 | 1.23 | 71.96 | 71.22 | -0.74 |
| ACCOUNTING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RELIGIOUS EDUCATION | 32.66 | 31.15 | 31.89 | 0.74 | 82.68 | 85.40 | 2.72 |
| ART | 60.49 | 60.15 | 64.16 | 4.01 | 99.36 | 99.52 | 0.16 |
| GENERAL SCIENCE | 1.83 | 1.93 | 0.75 | -1.18 | 65.95 | 57.55 | -8.4 |
| MORAL EDUCATION | 39.60 | 38.47 | 36.42 | -2.05 | 78.49 | 77.16 | -1.33 |
| FRENCH | 13.61 | 16.17 | 17.28 | 1.11 | 68.23 | 67.03 | -1.2 |
| MUSIC | 26.25 | 24.40 | 29.90 | 5.5 | 88.23 | 88.73 | 0.5 |
| PHYSICAL EDUCATION | 22.13 | 19.76 | 22.90 | 3.14 | 85.23 | 83.63 | -1.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2019 JCE Provisional Results Report

### 3.1 Performance in core subjects

Fig. 2 : Percentage of Candadates at Each Grade in Core Subjects


Figure 2 presents proportion of candidates at each grade across core subjects. Mathematics has the highest proportion of candidates at grade $\mathrm{A}(4.86 \%)$, while Agriculture has the highest proportion of candidates at both grade B (16.19\%) and grade C ( $28.36 \%$. Almost all subjects have grade D as the modal grade. For example Setswana, English, Social Studies, Agriculture and Moral Education have the highest proportions at grade D, with Mathematics and Integrated Science having the highest proportions at E . The performance distribution depicted at each level is skewed to the right implying large proportion of candidates obtaining lower grades compared to lower proportion of candidates obtaining grade A to C in these core subjects. This signifies a low performance for Core subjects which are taken by all candidates.

## 2019 JCE Provisional Results Report



Figure 3 presents proportions of candidates at each grade across practical subjects. The same picture observed in core subjects can be seen in practical subjects. More candidates are grouped at grades D or lower. PE has the highest proportion of candidates at grade A ( $2.79 \%$ ) while Art has the highest proportion of candidates at both grades B and C with $22.57 \%$ and $39.11 \%$, respectively. Commerce and Office Procedures and Commerce and Accounting have high proportions of candidates at grades E and U . Art has the highest proportion of candidates at grade C .

## 2019 JCE Provisional Results Report

## 4.0: Performance by Gender

Fig. 4: Percentages of Candidates at Each Grade by Gender


Figure 4 shows percentage of candidates at each grade by gender. Gender differentials in overall pass grade is more evident at grades $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C , where females are out-performing their male counterparts. In fact grades $B$ and $C$ presents bigger margins between females and males. For instance, $12.55 \%$ of females obtained grade B compared to $7.87 \%$ for males, while for grade C females are at $27.12 \%$ and males are at $20.70 \%$.

Table 12 Percentage of Female candidates at each grade by subject in 2017, 2018 and 2019

|  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | U |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Setswana | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 2.43 | 3.84 | 6.44 | 20.81 | 22.82 | 24.44 | 47.59 | 51.56 | 48.15 | 20.45 | 15.55 | 15.94 | 7.80 | 5.05 | 4.14 |
| English | 1.02 | 1.49 | 1.63 | 12.17 | 12.66 | 13.96 | 24.43 | 23.06 | 25.03 | 29.68 | 28.63 | 28.82 | 19.41 | 21.19 | 16.64 | 12.39 | 11.96 | 13.41 |
| Mathematics | 3.86 | 5.42 | 5.40 | 9.43 | 9.70 | 8.79 | 16.69 | 17.19 | 14.91 | 21.67 | 25.89 | 22.82 | 32.60 | 27.85 | 31.11 | 14.85 | 12.95 | 16.43 |
| Integrated Science | 1.64 | 3.26 | 2.00 | 5.08 | 6.48 | 5.51 | 11.16 | 10.70 | 10.52 | 29.85 | 30.75 | 31.57 | 40.43 | 35.81 | 37.78 | 11.80 | 12.97 | 12.60 |
| Social Studies | 1.32 | 0.85 | 0.62 | 9.79 | 9.43 | 10.08 | 22.70 | 24.52 | 24.18 | 26.06 | 30.47 | 31.35 | 27.92 | 24.03 | 24.08 | 11.31 | 9.79 | 9.14 |
| Agriculture | 2.60 | 1.71 | 1.56 | 15.27 | 15.01 | 17.70 | 27.19 | 28.97 | 30.07 | 35.25 | 37.50 | 34.97 | 17.20 | 14.72 | 13.62 | 1.63 | 1.25 | 1.54 |
| Design and Technology | 1.21 | 0.71 | 0.99 | 5.54 | 3.37 | 3.62 | 6.70 | 11.20 | 8.33 | 41.88 | 36.16 | 40.05 | 28.98 | 33.70 | 31.95 | 14.71 | 14.08 | 14.96 |
| Home Economics | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 6.37 | 6.33 | 5.03 | 26.79 | 25.69 | 28.74 | 43.49 | 44.25 | 44.38 | 18.07 | 19.72 | 17.26 | 5.13 | 3.84 | 4.47 |
| Commerce and Office Procedures | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.74 | 0.35 | 2.09 | 5.69 | 5.87 | 7.82 | 28.17 | 31.19 | 29.30 | 36.04 | 36.12 | 33.40 | 26.29 | 23.45 | 25.61 |
| Commerce and Accounting | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 4.58 | 4.90 | 7.34 | 16.10 | 17.78 | 16.91 | 24.77 | 25.54 | 24.71 | 26.68 | 29.63 | 29.01 | 26.31 | 20.62 | 21.08 |
| Religious Education | 4.02 | 3.51 | 3.70 | 14.28 | 14.86 | 13.95 | 20.11 | 18.93 | 19.23 | 25.07 | 28.34 | 29.51 | 22.45 | 21.16 | 23.16 | 12.24 | 11.22 | 9.31 |
| Art | 3.34 | 3.67 | 2.14 | 18.64 | 21.98 | 23.41 | 36.99 | 34.90 | 41.40 | 33.16 | 31.86 | 27.95 | 7.10 | 7.11 | 4.90 | 0.19 | 0.40 | 0.19 |
| General Science | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.97 | 0.59 | 0.37 | 6.40 | 6.94 | 5.50 | 35.66 | 45.68 | 43.70 | 37.84 | 27.45 | 41.06 |
| Moral Education | 6.05 | 4.86 | 4.48 | 19.71 | 17.56 | 15.77 | 23.04 | 24.03 | 23.42 | 20.54 | 21.95 | 24.51 | 15.61 | 16.08 | 16.47 | 14.96 | 14.63 | 14.81 |
| French | 2.63 | 4.46 | 4.07 | 5.65 | 6.74 | 6.65 | 10.32 | 10.98 | 11.15 | 21.52 | 26.42 | 23.15 | 40.21 | 31.71 | 32.26 | 19.28 | 19.69 | 22.51 |
| Music | 0.70 | 0.81 | 1.21 | 9.87 | 8.24 | 9.66 | 21.95 | 21.58 | 26.31 | 43.44 | 44.55 | 41.48 | 19.74 | 19.37 | 16.51 | 4.30 | 5.45 | 4.83 |
| Physical Education | 1.43 | 1.61 | 2.85 | 6.79 | 6.99 | 7.74 | 15.28 | 13.00 | 14.04 | 38.67 | 36.22 | 33.14 | 24.80 | 30.84 | 30.41 | 12.83 | 11.35 | 11.83 |

Table 12 depicts performance of females over a three year period (2017-2019). Across the three years, the proportions at each grade have been somewhat consistent. In fact, there has been consistent increase in the higher grades $A, B$ and $C$ in a number of subjects. In particular, core subjects have been increasing with only Mathematics and Integrated Science declining in 2019. Mathematics has the highest proportion of females obtaining Grade A at $5.40 \%$. The females recorded a high proportion of grade $U$ in General Science (41.06\%) in 2019 which is an increase of $13.61 \%$ from the previous year.

Table 13 Percentage of Male candidates at each grade by subject in 2017, 2018 and 2019

| Subject | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | U |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Setswana | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 1.03 | 1.70 | 8.05 | 10.06 | 10.22 | 37.23 | 47.09 | 42.12 | 30.44 | 27.00 | 30.86 | 23.03 | 13.99 | 14.68 |
| English | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0.68 | 5.03 | 6.01 | 6.71 | 15.58 | 15.40 | 16.19 | 26.49 | 25.86 | 26.68 | 22.84 | 25.41 | 20.48 | 28.95 | 26.07 | 28.89 |
| Mathematics | 3.02 | 4.19 | 4.30 | 6.73 | 7.02 | 6.32 | 12.64 | 13.65 | 11.33 | 18.20 | 22.16 | 19.84 | 34.22 | 29.66 | 31.59 | 24.40 | 22.56 | 26.23 |
| Integrated Science | 1.91 | 2.90 | 2.14 | 4.52 | 5.69 | 5.15 | 9.30 | 8.90 | 9.02 | 24.30 | 25.60 | 27.02 | 37.70 | 35.33 | 35.26 | 22.23 | 21.59 | 21.37 |
| Social Studies | 0.76 | 0.48 | 0.31 | 6.14 | 5.90 | 6.41 | 17.60 | 19.12 | 19.75 | 24.13 | 27.92 | 28.07 | 29.10 | 25.27 | 24.47 | 21.50 | 20.60 | 20.62 |
| Agriculture | 2.02 | 1.55 | 1.44 | 11.62 | 11.86 | 14.63 | 22.44 | 25.08 | 26.60 | 32.80 | 37.19 | 34.78 | 27.81 | 22.00 | 19.10 | 2.54 | 1.60 | 3.08 |
| Design and Technology | 1.74 | 1.21 | 1.36 | 7.37 | 5.73 | 5.76 | 8.06 | 10.23 | 9.57 | 38.42 | 36.17 | 35.49 | 25.83 | 28.98 | 29.52 | 17.74 | 17.67 | 18.27 |
| Home Economics | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 1.55 | 1.27 | 12.84 | 11.39 | 13.64 | 36.76 | 36.87 | 38.45 | 29.64 | 33.10 | 28.44 | 19.27 | 17.01 | 18.21 |
| Commerce \& Office Procedures | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.91 | 3.42 | 2.90 | 4.28 | 19.34 | 21.31 | 19.85 | 34.74 | 36.04 | 32.94 | 38.56 | 36.17 | 40.55 |
| Commerce and Accounting | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 2.36 | 2.54 | 3.58 | 10.62 | 10.62 | 10.38 | 19.56 | 19.12 | 17.97 | 25.33 | 30.25 | 29.84 | 40.39 | 35.70 | 37.23 |
| Religious Education | 1.56 | 1.55 | 1.81 | 7.61 | 6.47 | 7.31 | 12.99 | 11.94 | 12.63 | 22.58 | 24.29 | 26.50 | 26.80 | 27.18 | 28.70 | 25.36 | 25.385 | 21.57 |
| Art | 5.07 | 4.33 | 2.62 | 20.28 | 22.39 | 22.24 | 35.76 | 33.28 | 38.20 | 29.72 | 31.08 | 29.22 | 8.38 | 8.20 | 7.14 | 0.34 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| General Science | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 2.09 | 2.67 | 0.77 | 13.61 | 17.15 | 15.88 | 38.85 | 43.20 | 50.91 | 25.55 | 19.26 | 25.12 |
| Moral Education | 2.64 | 1.98 | 2.14 | 10.76 | 9.33 | 9.31 | 16.96 | 19.11 | 17.51 | 20.75 | 22.17 | 22.22 | 18.40 | 18.77 | 18.26 | 29.71 | 27.94 | 30.18 |
| French | 0.85 | 0.95 | 1.51 | 2.26 | 1.74 | 3.03 | 3.25 | 4.28 | 5.55 | 10.61 | 14.42 | 11.93 | 32.81 | 28.21 | 28.91 | 49.65 | 50.24 | 48.40 |
| Music | 0.31 | 0.60 | 0.84 | 5.04 | 6.78 | 5.54 | 12.67 | 17.02 | 14.43 | 34.96 | 40.23 | 34.90 | 28.85 | 23.54 | 25.00 | 18.17 | 11.77 | 19.30 |
| Physical Education | 1.38 | 1.56 | 2.74 | 6.06 | 5.54 | 6.56 | 13.58 | 11.13 | 12.17 | 31.04 | 31.05 | 28.50 | 25.91 | 33.04 | 29.88 | 21.96 | 17.68 | 20.16 |

Table 13 presents performance of males over a three year period (2017-2019) at each grade. There are more males obtaining grade $A, B$ and $C$ in Art compared to other subjects; the trend is consistent for the past three (3) years. It is evident that fewer males obtained Grade A or B in all subjects between 2018 and 2019. Art is the only subject which had a high proportion of grade C, more than $30 \%$ across the 3 years. The males recorded the highest proportion of grade $U$ in French (48.40\%) followed by Commerce \& Office Procedures (40.55\%) and Commerce and Accounting (37.23\%) in 2019.

Fig. 5: Overall Grade Percentages by National Regions


Fig. 5 shows the regional differences in candidates' performance. Central district recorded 3 merits in 2019. North East (1.79\%) is leading in percentage of candidates awarded grade A, followed by South East (1.63\%). Compared to other regions South East recorded the highest proportion of candidates that were awarded grade B (14.90\%), followed by North East (14.14\%). Kgalagadi, Ghanzi and North West regions have the lowest grades of A to C. Chobe has the highest proportions of grade D while Kgalagadi and Ghanzi have high proportions of grades $E$ and $U$.

Fig. 6: Performance by Region for Candidates Awarded Grade C or better


Figure 6 depicts performance of regions at grade C or better. The highest performing region at Grade C or better is South East (44.60\%) followed by North East ( $44.51 \%$ ) while the least performing region is Kgalagadi (20.61\%) followed by Ghanzi (22.77\%).

Fig. 7: Performance by Region for Candidates Awarded Grade E or better


Figure 7 depicts performance of regions at grade E or better. South East and North East continue to be the highest performing regions even at grade E or better with 91.99 and 91.89 percentage passes respectively. At grade E or better, Ghanzi is the least performing region (74.49\%) followed by Kgalagadi (74.86\%), implying $25.51 \%$ and $25.14 \%$ ungraded candidates respectively.

Table 14 JCE Centres obtaining 50\% or more of grades A-C by region in 2018

|  | Region | Centre | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Central | Orapa Junior Secondary School | 77.2 |
| 2 | Central | Meepong Junior Secondary School | 73.9 |
| 3 | South East | Bonnington Junior Secondary School | 72.9 |
| 4 | North East | Setlalekgosi Junior Secondary School | 72.8 |
| 5 | South East | Moselewapula Junior Secondary School | 72.8 |
| 6 | South East | Nanogang Junior Secondary School | 72.1 |
| 7 | Central | Makhubu Junior Secondary School | 70.4 |
| 8 | Central | Phatshimo Junior Secondary School | 68.9 |
| 9 | South East | Tlogatloga Junior Secondary School | 65.3 |
| 10 | North East | Thamani Junior Secondary School | 64.5 |
| 11 | North East | Montsamaisa Junior Secondary School | 63.3 |
| 12 | Central | Boipelego Junior Secondary School | 62.9 |
| 13 | North East | Tabitha Junior Secondary School | 61.5 |
| 14 | Southern | Kgosimpe Junior Secondary School | 59.8 |
| 15 | Central | Mojamorago Junior Secondary School | 59.6 |
| 16 | North East | Donga Junior Secondary School | 58.6 |
| 17 | Central | Kgalemang Motsete Junior Secondary School | 58.5 |
| 18 | Central | Mannathoko Junior Secondary School | 57.6 |
| 19 | South East | Kgale Hill Junior Secondary School | 57.4 |
| 20 | North East | Tadabijwa Junior Secondary School | 57.2 |
| 21 | North East | Madau Junior Secondary School | 56.1 |
| 22 | North East | Goldmine Junior Secondary School | 55.4 |
| 23 | South East | Mogobane Junior Secondary School | 54.8 |


|  | Region |  | Centre |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Southern | Ntebogang Junior Secondary School | Percentage |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Kweneng | Letlole Mosielele Junior Secondary School | 54.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Central | Shoshong Junior Secondary School | 54.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | Southern | Pitikwe Junior Secondary School | 53.8 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | Central | Lebogang Junior Secondary School | 53.1 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | South East | Maikano Junior Secondary School | 53 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | Central | Gobojango Junior Secondary School | 52.9 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | South East | Tlokweng Junior Secondary School | 52.5 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | Central | Ranokanyane Junior Secondary School | 52.4 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | Central | Bakwenakgari Junior Secondary School | 52.2 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | Kgatleng | Linchwe II Junior Secondary School | 51.9 |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | North East | Mmei Junior Secondary School | 51.8 |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | Southern | Itireleng Junior Secondary School | 51.4 |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | Southern | Tlhomo Junior Secondary School | 51.3 |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | South East | Sir Seretse Khama Junior Secondary School | 51.3 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | Central | Mmaphula Junior Secondary School | 51.1 |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ | Kweneng | Dithejwana Junior Secondary School | 50.9 |
|  |  | 50.2 |  |

Table presents a list of centres obtaining at least $50 \%$ of grades C or better in 2018. Forty one (41) centres managed to obtain a $50 \%$ or more pass rate on A-C grades compared to thirty (30) in 2017, an increase by eleven (11) centres. These centres are from six regions, namely Central, South East, Southern, North East, Kweneng and Kgatleng.

Table 15 JCE Centres obtaining 50\% or more of grades A-C by region in 2019

|  | Region | Centre | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kgatleng | Believers' Destiny College | 80.0 |
| 2 | South East | Nanogang Junior Secondary School | 78.6 |
| 3 | Central | Orapa Junior Secondary School | 77.4 |
| 4 | Central | Meepong Junior Secondary School | 73.6 |
| 5 | South East | Bonnington Junior Secondary School | 71.5 |
| 6 | South East | Tlogatloga Junior Secondary School | 69.2 |
| 7 | North East | Montsamaisa Junior Secondary School | 67.8 |
| 8 | Central | Makhubu Junior Secondary School | 66.8 |
| 9 | South East | Moselewapula Junior Secondary School | 66.7 |
| 10 | North East | Setlalekgosi Junior Secondary School | 64.5 |
| 11 | Central | Phatsimo Junior Secondary School | 61.5 |
| 12 | South East | Kgale Hill Junior Secondary School | 61.2 |
| 13 | Southern | Kgosi Mpe Junior Secondary School | 59.7 |
| 14 | North East | Mmei Junior Secondary School | 59.0 |
| 15 | Southern | Morama Junior Secondary School | 59.0 |
| 16 | Central | Kgalemang Motsete Junior Secondary School | 56.6 |
| 17 | Southern | Itireleng Junior Secondary School | 56.3 |
| 18 | Central | Bakwena Kgari Junior Secondary School | 55.9 |
| 19 | Southern | Pitikwe Junior Secondary School | 55.7 |
| 20 | Kweneng | Dithejwane Junior Secondary School | 55.0 |
| 21 | North East | Tadabigwa Junior Secondary School | 55.0 |
| 22 | South East | Motswedi Junior Secondary School | 54.5 |
| 23 | North East | Thamani Junior Secondary School | 53.8 |
| 24 | South East | Maoka Junior Secondary School | 53.8 |
| 25 | Central | Mannathoko Junior Secondary School | 53.5 |


|  | Region | Centre | Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Central | Boipelego Junior Secondary School | 53.4 |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | North East | Donga Junior Secondary School | 53.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | South East | Sir Seretse Khama Junior Secondary School | 52.9 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | Central | Kgatadimo Junior Secondary School | 52.8 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | North East | Shanganani Junior Secondary School | 51.6 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | Central | Mojamorago Junior Secondary School | 51.4 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | Central | Shoshong Junior Secondary School | 51.4 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | North East | Ramoja Junior Secondary School | 51.0 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | Central | Metsimasweu Junior Secoundary School | 50.6 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | Kgatleng | Linchwe II Junior Secondary School | 50.0 |

Table 15 presents a list of centres obtaining at least $50 \%$ of grades C or better in 2019. Thirtyfive (35) centres managed to obtain a $50 \%$ or more pass rate on A-C grades compared to fourty-one (41), a decrease by six (6) centres from 2018. These centres are from six regions, namely Central, South East, Southern, North East, Kweneng and Kgatleng.

### 6.0 REPORT SUMMARY

- Overall performance of 2019 JCE cohort is similar to that of 2018.
- Setswana, English, Agriculture, Commerce and Office Procedures, Physical Education, Art and Music are showing significant increase in cumulative percentage of candidates reaching C or better.
- Mathematics and Moral Education experienced a significant decline.
- All subjects in exception of Art obtained cumulative percentages less than $50 \%$ at grade C or better.
- Female candidates outperformed their Male counterparts in overall A to C grade.
- South East region recorded the highest proportion of candidates obtaining grades A to C.

